arXiv:1701.05257v1 [gr-qc] 18 Jan 2017

Metric-independence of vacuum and force-free electromagnetic fields

Abraham I. Harte*
School of Mathematical Sciences, Dublin City University, Glasnevin, Dublin 9, Ireland

Electromagnetic fields which solve the vacuum Maxwell equations in one spacetime are well-known to also be solutions in all spacetimes with conformally-related metrics. This provides a sense in which electromagnetism alone cannot be used to measure certain aspects of geometry. We show that there is actually much more which cannot be so measured; relatively little of a spacetime's geometry is in fact imprinted in any particular electromagnetic field. This is demonstrated by finding a much larger class of metric transformations—involving five free functions—which preserve Maxwell solutions both in vacuum, without local currents, and also for the force-free electrodynamics associated with a tenuous plasma. One consequence of this is that many of the exact force-free fields which have previously been found around Schwarzschild and Kerr black holes are also solutions in appropriately-identified flat backgrounds. As a more direct application, we use our metric transformations to write down a large class of electromagnetic waves which remain unchanged by a large class of gravitational waves propagating "in the same direction."

Beginning with the 1915 prediction, and then the 1919 observation of starlight deflected by the Sun [1], electromagnetic fields in nontrivial spacetimes have played an essential role in the development of general relativity. Essentially all observations of general relativistic phenomena—whether they involve light deflection, intensity modulation, frequency shifts, time delays, or something else—involve electromagnetic fields in one way or another. Even the recent detections of gravitational waves by LIGO [2, 3] have depended in part on the interactions of those waves with light circulating in its interferometers. In these measurements and others, appropriate properties of electromagnetic radiation are often interpreted as containing an imprint of the geometry through which they've traveled. Here, we ask to what extent this is really true. What can really be learned about a spacetime from an electromagnetic (test) field?

The usual approach to understanding electromagnetic fields in general relativity is to consider a particular class of physical systems and to directly compute how various observables depend on the properties of those systems. This "forward" approach is useful, even essential, in many contexts, but does not provide a particularly broad understanding. We instead focus on the inverse problem—finding which metrics are compatible with a given field—and indeed only on the uniqueness of those metrics. Given one metric compatible with a given electromagnetic field, which others are also compatible? Somewhat more precisely, we identify classes of metric transformations for which Maxwell fields remain Maxwell fields. Although these transformations do not exhaust all possibilities, they already involve five free functions. That there exists such a broad freedom in the allowable metrics suggests that relatively few aspects of a geometry can be inferred from knowledge of a single electromagnetic field (although considerably more is possible using matter or families of fields).

* abraham.harte@dcu.ie

Maxwell's equations in their commonly-given tensorial form appear to involve the spacetime metric g_{ab} both explicitly, via an index-raising operation, and also implicitly, via the covariant derivative ∇_a; see e.g., [4]. It is known, however, that these equations simplify considerably using structures natural to the study of differential forms. In this context, the electromagnetic field $F_{ab} = F_{[ab]}$ is reinterpreted as a 2-form $\boldsymbol{F}$, and Maxwell's equations reduce to

$$d\boldsymbol{F} = 0, \qquad d\,{}^*\boldsymbol{F} = -4\pi\,{}^*\boldsymbol{J}. \tag{1}$$

Here, d denotes the exterior derivative, $\boldsymbol{J}$ the current density 1-form, and * the Hodge dual operator. Exterior derivatives are defined independently of the metric, so at least in vacuum where $\boldsymbol{J} = 0$, the local geometry can affect an electromagnetic field only via its contributions to the Hodge dual $\boldsymbol{F} \mapsto {}^*\boldsymbol{F}$. In particular, if a 2-form $\boldsymbol{F}$ solves the vacuum Maxwell equations in a metric g_{ab}, and if ${}^*\boldsymbol{F}$ is preserved under some metric transformation $g_{ab} \mapsto \tilde{g}_{ab}$, it follows immediately that $\boldsymbol{F}$ is also a solution to the vacuum Maxwell equations in the metric $\tilde{g}_{ab}$. We may thus characterize at least some of the "metric-independence" of a particular vacuum solution $\boldsymbol{F}$ by finding the class of metric transformations which preserve ${}^*\boldsymbol{F}$. Reverting to tensorial notation, we look for those metric transformations which do not affect

$${}^*F_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{abcd}g^{ce}g^{df}F_{ef}, \tag{2}$$

where ϵ_{abcd} denotes a volume form compatible with g_{ab}. Rather remarkably, this problem is purely local and algebraic; it does not depend on derivatives of the metric transformation. Although these observations are elementary, their consequences do not appear to have been thoroughly examined. One special case which *has* been explored is the conformal invariance of Maxwell's equations [4–8]: Any $\boldsymbol{F}$ which solves the vacuum Maxwell equations in one metric g_{ab} is also solution in all metrics $\tilde{g}_{ab} = \Omega^2 g_{ab}$ for which $\Omega^2 > 0$. We show that much more general transformations are allowed when the re-

quirement that *all* fields be preserved is weakened to the requirement that only a *single* field be preserved.

We also note that metric transformations which preserve ${}^*\boldsymbol{F}$ are relevant even in non-vacuum electromagnetism. It is clear from (1) that they necessarily preserve ${}^*\boldsymbol{J}$, and this is physically interesting in at least one non-vacuum context: force-free electrodynamics. In many astrophysical environments, electromagnetic fields do not exist in vacuum, but are instead sourced by currents in an ambient plasma. If this plasma is sufficiently tenuous and the magnetic field sufficiently strong, matter responds almost instantaneously to the electromagnetic field, and the Lorentz force density $g^{bc}F_{ab}J_c$ may be taken to vanish [9–11]. Using Maxwell's equations to replace the current density in this constraint by the divergence of F_{ab} results in the nonlinear field equation $g^{bd}g^{cf}F_{ab}\nabla_c F_{df} = 0$. Although this appears to have a complicated dependence on the metric, considerable simplifications are again possible using the language of differential forms. First, it is known that any force-free field in which $\boldsymbol{J} \neq 0$ may be written, at least locally, as $\boldsymbol{F} = d\alpha \wedge d\beta$, for some scalars α and β. Fields with this form are automatically solutions to $d\boldsymbol{F} = 0$, and the remaining force-free equation may be shown to be equivalent to [12]

$$d\alpha \wedge d\,{}^*\boldsymbol{F} = 0, \qquad d\beta \wedge d\,{}^*\boldsymbol{F} = 0. \tag{3}$$

Once again, the metric enters only via the Hodge dual $\boldsymbol{F} \mapsto {}^*\boldsymbol{F}$: If some potentials α and β are known to generate a force-free field $\boldsymbol{F}$ in the metric g_{ab}, it remains a force-free field in all metrics which preserve ${}^*\boldsymbol{F}$. In both the vacuum and force-free settings, this single algebraic criterion is sufficient to preserve electromagnetic fields.

We now identify metric transformations which accomplish this. Although their most general forms depend on the algebraic character of $\boldsymbol{F}$, we begin by considering a special case which does not. The first non-conformal transformations we discuss are of Kerr-Schild type [13–15], meaning that

$$g_{ab} \mapsto \tilde{g}_{ab} = g_{ab} + V\ell_a\ell_b \tag{4}$$

for some nonzero ℓ_a which is null in the sense that $g^{ab}\ell_a\ell_b = 0$. Given any V, the exact inverse of the transformed metric is known to be $\tilde{g}^{ab} = g^{ab} - V\ell^a\ell^b$, where $\ell^a = g^{ab}\ell_b$. It follows that ℓ_a is null not only with respect to g_{ab}, but also with respect to $\tilde{g}_{ab}$. A second property of Kerr-Schild transformations is that they preserve volume elements: A volume 4-form $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ associated with g_{ab} is equal to a volume form $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$ associated with $\tilde{g}_{ab}$. Substituting these results into (2) now shows that under any Kerr-Schild transformation,

$${}^*F_{ab} \mapsto {}^*F_{ab} + \epsilon_{abcd}V\ell^c F^d{}_f\ell^f, \tag{5}$$

where indices have been raised using g_{ab}. If $VF_{ab} \neq 0$, the second term on the right-hand side vanishes if and only if ℓ_a is an eigenvector of F_{ab} with respect to g_{ab}, in the sense that

$$\ell_{[a}F_{b]c}g^{cd}\ell_d = 0. \tag{6}$$

Recalling that ℓ_a must also be null, and that null eigenvectors remain null eigenvectors with respect to arbitrary rescalings, 1-forms picked out in this way define a principal null direction [16, 17], or PND of F_{ab}, with respect to g_{ab}. Any nonzero multiple of ℓ_a generates the same PND.

Using this terminology, it follows from (4) that *any electromagnetic field is preserved by any Kerr-Schild transformation generated by a PND of that field.* If $\boldsymbol{F}$ is a vacuum or force-free solution in a metric g_{ab}, and if ℓ_a defines a PND with respect to g_{ab}, $\boldsymbol{F}$ remains a solution in all metrics $\Omega^2(g_{ab}+V\ell_a\ell_b)$. The scalar V is arbitrary and Ω^2 is constrained only to be positive. Many important metrics are known to be related via Kerr-Schild transformations to simple, and even flat, spacetimes: Kerr-Newman black holes, plane-fronted gravitational waves with parallel rays (*pp*-waves), de Sitter spacetime, and more [17]. Moreover, every static, spherically-symmetric metric can be related to a flat metric by a combination of Kerr-Schild and conformal transformations [18]. Kerr-Schild transformations are also known to interact in particularly simple ways with Einstein's equation, effectively linearizing it [15, 19, 20].

The result that Kerr-Schild transformations preserve Maxwell fields may be considerably generalized. Most directly, one may consider successive compositions of Kerr-Schild transformations generated by *each* PND. This is nontrivial only if $\boldsymbol{F}$ is non-null, which means that at least one of the scalars

$$\frac{1}{2}g^{ac}g^{bd}F_{ab}F_{cd} = |\boldsymbol{B}|^2 - |\boldsymbol{E}|^2, \tag{7a}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}g^{ac}g^{bd}F_{ab}\,{}^*F_{cd} = \boldsymbol{E}\cdot\boldsymbol{B}, \tag{7b}$$

is nonzero. Non-null fields are known to admit exactly two real PNDs, represented here by ℓ_a and k_a, and also two complex PNDs, represented by m_a and $\bar{m}_a$ [16, 21]. The latter 1-forms are complex conjugates of one another, and additionally, $\boldsymbol{\ell}\cdot\boldsymbol{m} = \boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{m} = 0$, with dot products and other metric-dependent concepts to be understood as with respect to g_{ab}. Applying a conformal transformation together with the Kerr-Schild transformations associated with these four PNDs may be shown to result in $g_{ab} \mapsto \tilde{g}_{ab}$, where

$$\tilde{g}_{ab} = \Omega^2\Bigg[g_{ab} + \frac{V\ell_a\ell_b + (\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\ell})VW\ell_{(a}k_{b)} + Wk_ak_b}{1 - \frac{1}{4}(\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\ell})^2VW} + \frac{Ym_am_b + (\boldsymbol{m}\cdot\bar{\boldsymbol{m}})|Y|^2m_{(a}\bar{m}_{b)} + \bar{Y}\bar{m}_a\bar{m}_b}{1 - \frac{1}{4}(\boldsymbol{m}\cdot\bar{\boldsymbol{m}})^2|Y|^2}\Bigg]. \tag{8}$$

The scalars V and W are real, while Y may be complex. They are arbitrary so long as $1 - \frac{1}{4}(\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\ell})^2VW$ and $1 - \frac{1}{4}(\boldsymbol{m}\cdot\bar{\boldsymbol{m}})^2|Y|^2$ remain nonzero and $\Omega^2 > 0$. Note that $\tilde{g}_{ab}$ involves not only sums of ordinary Kerr-Schild terms proportional to $\ell_a\ell_b$, k_ak_b, and so on, but also cross terms such as $\ell_{(a}k_{b)}$. These arise because, e.g., k_a may fail to be a null eigenvector with respect to $g_{ab} + v\ell_a\ell_b$ even if it is a null eigenvector with respect to g_{ab}.

Regardless, it follows from our above result on individual Kerr-Schild transformations that *if $\boldsymbol{F}$ satisfies the vacuum or force-free Maxwell equations and admits the non-parallel null eigenvectors ℓ_a, k_a, m_a, and $\bar{m}_a$ with respect to g_{ab}, this field is also a vacuum or force-free solution with respect to any $\tilde{g}_{ab}$ given by* (8). Attempting to find metrics compatible with a non-null electromagnetic field thus results in an ambiguity involving at least five real functions, which is close to the six gauge-independent functions that characterize general four-dimensional metrics (although we do not attempt to precisely describe the sense in which our transformations are independent of ordinary gauge transformations).

The allowable metric transformations are somewhat different if $\boldsymbol{F}$ is null, meaning that the quadratic field scalars (7) both vanish. Physically, null fields appear to any observer to involve electric and magnetic fields which are orthogonal and of equal magnitude; a simple example is a plane wave in flat spacetime. Null fields arise generically in the limit of geometric optics, and also far from sources (see, e.g., [7, 23] and references therein). Real null fields may be shown to have the form [16]

$$\boldsymbol{F} = \boldsymbol{\ell} \wedge (\boldsymbol{m} + \bar{\boldsymbol{m}}), \tag{9}$$

where ℓ_a generates a real PND, m_a is complex, null, and orthogonal to ℓ_a, and $\bar{m}_a$ is the complex conjugate of m_a. Null fields admit only one PND, so interesting compositions of Kerr-Schild transformations are not possible.

We progress instead by writing down an ansatz and verifying that it works. Recalling that a Kerr-Schild transformation generated by ℓ_a adds a rank-1 perturbation to g_{ab}, consider a more general rank-1 transformation generated by both ℓ_a and some other 1-form ξ_a. Additionally including a conformal transformation, let

$$g_{ab} \mapsto \tilde{g}_{ab} = \Omega^2(g_{ab} + V\ell_{(a}\xi_{b)}). \tag{10}$$

We now show that *these transformations preserve any null, vacuum or force-free Maxwell solution $\boldsymbol{F}$ with PND generated by ℓ_a*. First note that inverting the transformed metric yields $\tilde{g}^{ab} = \Omega^{-2}(g^{ab} - V\ell_c g^{c(a}\Xi^{b)})$, where

$$\Xi^a = \frac{g^{ab}}{1 + \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\ell}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})V}\left[\xi_b - \left(\frac{\frac{1}{4}(\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})V}{1 + \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\ell}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})V}\right)\ell_b\right]. \tag{11}$$

Furthermore, the volume element transforms as $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mapsto \Omega^4[1 + \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\ell}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})V]\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$. It follows from these formulas and also (2) and (9) that ${}^*\boldsymbol{F} \mapsto {}^*\boldsymbol{F}$ for all $g_{ab} \mapsto \tilde{g}_{ab}$ with the form (10). As claimed, these transformations preserve null Maxwell fields in both vacuum and force-free electrodynamics. Similarly to the non-null case (8), they involve five free functions—one for Ω and four for $V\xi_a$. These functions are restricted only to satisfy $1 + \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\ell}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})V \neq 0$ and $\Omega^2 > 0$, conditions which ensure that $\tilde{g}_{ab}$ does not become degenerate. If ξ_a is null, $\tilde{g}_{ab}$ has the form of the metrics considered in [24, 25]. Ordinary Kerr-Schild transformations arise as special cases in which $\Omega = 1$ and $\xi_{[a}\ell_{b]} = 0$. Generalizing these to allow $\xi_{[a}\ell_{b]} = s_{[a}\ell_{b]}$ for some spacelike s_a which is orthogonal to ℓ_a recovers the "extended Kerr-Schild metrics" discussed in [26–28].

Perhaps the simplest descriptions of electromagnetic (and many other) fields are those which arise in the limit of geometric optics. In certain cases—waves of sufficiently high frequency [22] or at a sufficient distance from their source [7, 23]—the partial differential equations describing the underlying fields may be ignored in favor of ordinary differential equations describing the trajectories of light rays, amplitudes along those rays, and so on [29]. There is a sense in which fields become null in this limit, and so one might expect that some structures relevant to geometric optics, and hence gravitational lensing, are also preserved by metric transformations which preserve null electromagnetic fields. This is indeed the case. Suppose that a collection of light rays in a metric g_{ab} is tangent to a vector field ℓ^a. This must be null and geodesic with respect to g_{ab}, meaning that

$$g_{ab}\ell^a\ell^b = 0, \qquad (\ell^c\nabla_c\ell^{[a})\ell^{b]} = 0, \tag{12}$$

and a direct calculation shows that these equations are preserved under any metric transformation $g_{ab} \mapsto \tilde{g}_{ab}$ where $\tilde{g}_{ab}$ is given by (10); light rays remain light rays under the same transformations as those which preserve null electromagnetic fields.

Now that we have established metric transformation laws which preserve both null and non-null electromagnetic fields, it is natural to ask if various observables constructed from these fields are also preserved. Perhaps the simplest possibilities are the quadratic field scalars (7). In the null case where these both vanish with respect to the metric g_{ab}, they also vanish for all metrics $\tilde{g}_{ab}$ with the form (10); null fields remain null. For the non-null case, both scalars are affected only by the conformal factor in (8),

$$|\boldsymbol{B}|^2 - |\boldsymbol{E}|^2 \mapsto \Omega^{-4}(|\boldsymbol{B}|^2 - |\boldsymbol{E}|^2), \tag{13a}$$

$$\boldsymbol{E}\cdot\boldsymbol{B} \mapsto \Omega^{-4}\boldsymbol{E}\cdot\boldsymbol{B}. \tag{13b}$$

Non-null fields thus remain non-null. Electrically or magnetically-dominated fields remain so dominated. In both null and non-null cases, the electromagnetic stress-energy may be shown to be preserved up to scaling, but only in its mixed-index form $g^{ab}T_{bc}$. Eigenvectors of the stress-energy are thus preserved, possibly with rescaled eigenvalues. It may additionally be noted that since $\boldsymbol{F}$ and ${}^*\boldsymbol{F}$ remain unchanged by our transformations, so do their integrals through arbitrary 2-surfaces. In particular, these transformations cannot change electric or magnetic charges inferred to lie inside closed 2-surfaces.

Despite these remarks, it must be cautioned that identical fields do not necessarily have identical physical interpretations in different metrics. Frequencies of electromagnetic waves generically differ, for example; proper times associated with an observer's timelike worldline are not necessarily preserved. Even more dramatically, an observer which is physically-acceptable—i.e., timelike—with respect to one metric can be unacceptably spacelike with respect to other metrics in our class. It should

also be noted that although our transformations take solutions into solutions, they do not necessarily preserve physically-interesting initial data or boundary conditions.

Moving on to applications, consider a force-free $\boldsymbol{F}$ with nonvanishing current density in a metric g_{ab}. If that density is null, the force-free condition implies that it may be identified with a PND, represented, say, by ℓ_a. A wide class of such solutions have been found in the presence of Schwarzschild and Kerr black holes [12, 30]. In all cases, they admit a PND for $\boldsymbol{F}$ which coincides with a PND associated with the spacetime's Weyl tensor. It is known however [17] that any Kerr metric g_{ab}^{Kerr} may be deformed into a flat metric η_{ab} using a Kerr-Schild transformation generated by either of its two Weyl-PNDs: $\eta_{ab} = g_{ab}^{\text{Kerr}} + V\ell_a\ell_b$. Our results on Kerr-Schild deformations thus imply that force-free solutions of this type must also be solutions in an appropriately-identified flat metric. Similar results have been recognized essentially by inspection, at least in the Schwarzschild case, although the underlying reason was not explained. That these are really flat solutions "in disguise" provides a simple explanation for why there is no apparent scattering from the spacetime curvature (see also [31] for a different explanation).

A more general result may be deduced for electromagnetic fields which have been found in Schwarzschild and which admit a PND coinciding with one of the spacetime's PNDs: These PNDs are radially-ingoing or radially-outgoing, and it is known that all static, spherically-symmetric metrics can at least locally be deformed into flat metrics using a combination of conformal transformations and Kerr-Schild transformations generated by radial 1-forms [18]. There is therefore a sense in which solutions found in [12, 30] in Schwarzschild backgrounds are actually solutions in *all* static (and many non-static) spherically-symmetric spacetimes. The addition of a cosmological constant has no effect, for example.

Going in the opposite direction—from flat spacetime to curved—flat-spacetime, force-free, null-current solutions have been found in which the field's PND is tangent to light cones whose vertices lie on a (possibly accelerating) timelike worldline [32]. Applying Kerr-Schild transformations with such a PND is known to generate a wide variety of "rocket"-type and other geometries [17, 33]. Flat-spacetime "accelerated magnetosphere" solutions can thus be lifted to solutions in this class of curved spacetimes.

These results have all been obtained using only conformal and Kerr-Schild transformations. We now use a more complicated transformation to demonstrate that there is a sense in which a large class of gravitational waves propagating in a given direction do not affect electromagnetic test waves propagating in that same direction. Introducing inertial coordinates $x^\mu = (t, x, y, z)$ associated with a flat metric η_{ab}, the fields $\boldsymbol{F} = d\alpha(u, x, y) \wedge du$ describe, among other things, electromagnetic waves propagating along the $\pm z$ direction, where $u = t \mp z$ and $\alpha(u, x, y)$ is an arbitrary potential. These are force-free null solutions. Vacuum examples also exist, however, in which case $(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2)\alpha = 0$. The null 1-form $\boldsymbol{\ell} = du$ generates the lone PND. Also introducing the null coordinate $v = t \pm z$, it follows from (10) that $\boldsymbol{F}$ is a solution for, e.g., all line elements

$$ds^2 = A^2(dx^2 + dy^2) - du(dv + Bdu + Cdx + Ddy), \quad (14)$$

with A, B, C, D essentially arbitrary. Comparing with Eq. (31.6) of [17], the so-called Kundt metrics are seen to be special cases. Physically, Kundt metrics can describe a wide range of physical scenarios, including quite general types of gravitational radiation (with or without a cosmological constant) [17, 34–36]. The plane-fronted waves with parallel rays, *pp*-waves, are contained as special cases, and gravitational plane waves are in turn special *pp*-waves. Regardless, the gravitational radiation described by any Kundt metric may be said to propagate along the direction associated with $\boldsymbol{\ell}$, at least when it is appropriate to interpret it as containing radiation. This is similarly true for the electromagnetic radiation associated with $\boldsymbol{F}$ (again, where appropriate). That the field remains a solution in a wide variety of gravitational wave metrics—independent of waveform or anything beside propagation direction—suggests that these types of waves do not interact. Despite this, examination of certain observables, such as the electric field components seen by a freely-falling observer, would instead appear to suggest that these waves *do* interact; correct interpretations can be subtle.

Regardless, many more applications are possible. Additionally, the classes of Maxwell-preserving metric transformations (8) and (10) may be interestingly enlarged by allowing ${}^*\boldsymbol{F} \mapsto \gamma\, {}^*\boldsymbol{F} + d\lambda$, where λ is arbitrary and γ satisfies $d\gamma \wedge {}^*\boldsymbol{F} = 0$. We have thus far considered only those cases in which $\gamma = 1$ and $d\lambda = 0$.

Acknowledgements—The author thanks Justin Vines for useful discussions and comments.

[1] C. M. Will, Class. Quantum Grav. **32**, 124001 (2015)
[2] B. P. Abbott et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 061102 (2016)
[3] B. P. Abbott et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 241103 (2016)
[4] R. M. Wald, *General relativity* (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984)
[5] E. Cunningham, Proc. London Math. Soc. **8**, 77 (1910)
[6] H. Bateman, Proc. London Math. Soc. **8**, 223 (1910)
[7] P. Hogan and G. F. R. Ellis, Ann. Phys. (NY) **210**, 178 (1991)
[8] C. Codirla and H. Osborn, Ann. Phys. (NY) **260**, 91 (1997)
[9] T. Wiegelmann and T. Sakurai, Living Rev. Solar Phys.

9, 5 (2012)
[10] R. D. Blandford and R. L. Znajek, Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc. **179**, 433 (1977)
[11] D. MacDonald and K. S. Thorne, Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc. **198**, 345 (1982)
[12] S. E. Gralla and T. Jacobson, Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc. **445**, 2500 (2014)
[13] R. P. Kerr and A. Schild, Gen. Relat. Gravit. **41**, 2485 (2009)
[14] B. Coll, S. R. Hildenbrandt, and J. M. M. Senovilla, Gen. Rel. Grav. **33**, 649 (2000)
[15] A. I. Harte and J. Vines, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 084009 (2016)
[16] G. S. Hall, *Symmetries and curvature structure in general relativity* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2004)
[17] H. Stephani, D. Kramer, M. MacCallum, C. Hoenselaers, and E. Herlt, *Exact solutions of Einstein's field equations* 2nd ed. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003)
[18] N. V. Mitskievich and Jan Horský, Class. Quantum Grav. **13**, 2603 (1996)
[19] M. Gürses and F. Gürsey, J. Math. Phys. **16**, 2385 (1975)
[20] B. C. Xanthopoulos, J. Math. Phys. **19**, 1607 (1978)
[21] P. Jordan, J. Ehlers, and W. Kundt, Gen. Rel. Grav. **41**, 2191 (2009)
[22] J. Ehlers, Z. Naturforsch. A **22**, 1328 (1967)
[23] J. N. Goldberg and R. P. Kerr, J. Math. Phys. **5**, 172 (1964)
[24] J. Llosa and J. Carot, Class. Quantum Grav. **26**, 055013 (2009)
[25] A. I. Harte, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 261103 (2014)
[26] A. N. Aliev and D. K. Ciftci, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 044004 (2009)
[27] B. Ett and D. Kastor, Class. Quantum Grav. **27**, 185024 (2010)
[28] T. Málek, Class. Quantum Grav. **31**, 185013 (2014)
[29] V. Perlick, Living Rev. Relativity **7**, 9 (2004)
[30] T. D. Brennan, S. E. Gralla, and T. Jacobson, Class. Quantum Grav. **30**, 195012 (2013)
[31] F. Zhang, S. T. McWilliams, and H. P. Pfeiffer, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 024049 (2015)
[32] T. D. Brennan and S. E. Gralla, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 103013 (2014)
[33] W. B. Bonnor and P. C. Vaidya, in *General relativity: Papers in honour of J.L. Synge*, ed. L. O'Raifeartaigh (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1972)
[34] J. B. Griffiths and J. Podolský, *Exact space-times in Einstein's general relativity* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009)
[35] J. Podolský and M. Ortaggio, Class. Quantum Grav. **20**, 1685 (2003)
[36] J. Podolský and R. Švarc, Class. Quantum Grav. **30**, 205016 (2013)